Continuation of the Senate Proceedings of March 27, 1973, Appear in This Issue After Today's Senate Proceedings

ngressional Re

United States of America

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 93^d CONGRESS, FIRST SESSION

Vol. 119

WASHINGTON, WEDNESDAY, MARCH 28, 1973

No. 48

Senate

The Senate met at 12 o'clock meridian and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. EASTLAND).

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Edward L. R. Elson, D.D., offered the following prayer:

O Thou Divine Creator of all that is beautiful and good and true, we thank Thee for the beauty of this season. For buds and blossoms, for lush lawns and soothing sun, for calm winds and bounding waters, for scampering wildlife and the lyric notes of the birds we give thanks to Thec. As we thank Thee for the new life in nature we thank Thee for the promise of new life in man. May the beauty of sight and sound without be matched by the beauty of life within each of us. Help us to walk with Thee in the holiness of beauty and to worship Thee in the beauty of holiness. We pray Thee so to assist us and all men that at last all nations may come under Thy rulership and men dwell in the peace of Thy kingdom.

We pray through Him whose life was above all life. Amen.

MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT

Messages in writing from the President. of the United States were communicated to the Senate by Mr. Leonard, one of his secretaries.

FEDERAL DRUG LAW ENFORCE-MENT-MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

The PRESIDENT pro tempore laid before the Senate a message from the President of the United States, which, with an accompanying paper, was referred to the Committee on Government Operations. The message is as follows:

To the Congress of the United States:

Drug abuse is one of the most vicious and corrosive forces attacking the foundations of American society today. It is a major cause of crime and a merciless destroyer of human lives. We must fight it with all of the resources at our command.

month in my State of the Union message, Federal Government is fighting the war

there is evidence of significant progress on a number of fronts in that war.

Both the rate of new addiction to heroin and the number of narcoticrelated deaths showed an encouraging downturn last year. More drug addicts and abusers are in treatment and rehabilitation programs than ever before.

Progress in pinching off the supply of illicit drugs was evident in last year's stepped-up volume of drug seizures worldwide—which more than doubled in 1972 over the 1971 level.

Arrests of traffickers have risen by more than one-third since 1971. Prompt Congressional action on my proposal for mandatory minimum sentences for pushers of hard drugs will help ensure that convictions stemming from such arrests lead to actual imprisonment of the guilty.

Notwithstanding these gains, much more must be done. The resilience of the international drug trade remains grimly impressive—current estimates suggest that we still intercept only a small fraction of all the heroin and cocaine entering this country. Local police still find that more than one of every three suspects arrested for street crimes is a narcotic abuser or addict. And the total number of Americans addicted to narcotics, suffering terribly themselves and inflicting their suffering on countless others, still stands in the hundreds of thousands.

A UNIFIED COMMAND FOR DRUG ENFORCEMENT

Seeking ways to intensify our counteroffensive against this menace, I am asking the Congress today to join with this Administration in strengthening and streamlining the Federal drug law enforcement effort.

Funding for this effort has increased sevenfold during the past five years, from \$36 million in fiscal year 1969 to \$257 million in fiscal year 1974—more money is not the most pressing enforcement need at present. Nor is there a primary need for more manpower working on the problem, over 2,100 new agents having already been added to the Federal drug enforcement agencies under this Administration, an increase of more than 250 percent over the 1969 level.

on drug abuse under a distinct handicap, for its efforts are those of a loosely confederated alliance facing a resourceful, elusive, worldwide enemy. Admiral Ma-han, the master naval strategist, described this handicap precisely when he wrote that "Granting the same aggregate of force, it is never as great in two hands as in one, because it is not perfectly concentrated.

More specifically, the drug law enforcement activities of the United States now are not merely in two hands but in half a dozen. Within the Department of Justice, with no overall direction below the level of the Attorney General, these fragmented forces include the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs, the Office for Drug Abuse Law Enforcement, the Office of National Narcotics Intelligence, and certain activities of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration. The Treasury Department is also heavily engaged in enforcement work through the Bureau of Customs.

This aggregation of Federal activities has grown up rapidly over the past few years in response to the urgent need for stronger anti-drug measures. It has enabled us to make a very encouraging beginning in the accelerated drug enforcement drive of this Administration.

But it also has serious operational and organizational shortcomings. Certainly the cold-blooded underworld networks that funnel narcotics from suppliers all over the world into the veins of American drug victims are no respecters of the bureaucratic dividing lines that now complicate our anti-drug efforts. On the contrary, these modern-day slave traders can derive only advantage from the limitations of the existing organizational patchwork. Experience has now given us a good basis for correcting those limitations, and it is time to do so.

I therefore propose creation of a single, comprehensive Federal agency within the Department of Justice to lead the war against illicit drug traffic.

Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1973, which I am transmitting to the Congress with this message, would establish such an agency, to be called the Drug Enforcement Administration. It would be headed by an Administrator reporting

The Drug Enforcement Administration would carry out the following anti-drug functions, and would absorb the associated manpower and budgets:

-All functions of the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs (which would be abolished as a separate entity by the reorganization plan);

-Those functions of the Bureau of Customs pertaining to drug investigations and intelligence (to be transferred from the Treasury Department to the Attorney General by the reorganization plan);

-All functions of the Office for Drug Abuse Law Enforcement; and

All functions of the Office of National Narcotics Intelligence.

Merger of the latter two organizations into the new agency would be effected by an executive order dissolving them and transferring their functions, to take effect upon approval of Reorganization Plan No. 2 by the Congress. Drug law enforcement research currently funded by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration and other agencies would also be transferred to the new agency by executive action.

The major responsibilities of the Drug Enforcement Administration would thus include:

-development of overall Federal drug law enforcement strategy, programs, planning, and evaluation;

full investigation and preparation for prosecution of suspects for violations under all Federal drug trafficking laws:

-full investigation and preparation for prosecution of suspects connected with illicit drugs seized at U.S. portsof-entry and international borders:

-conduct of all relations with drug law enforcement officials of foreign governments, under the policy guidance of the Cabinet Committee on International Narcotics Control:

-full coordination and cooperation with State and local law enforcement officials on joint drug enforce-

ment efforts; and -regulation of the legal manufacture of drugs and other controlled substances under Federal regulations.

The Attorney General, working closely with the Administrator of this new agency, would have authority to make needed program adjustments. He would take steps within the Department of Justice to ensure that high priority em-phasis is placed on the prosecution and sentencing of drug traffickers following their apprehension by the enforcement organization. He would also have the authority and responsibility for securing the fullest possible cooperation-particularly with respect to collection of drug intelligence-from all Federal departments and agencies which can con-tribute to the anti-drug work, including the Internal Revenue Service and the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

My proposals would make possible a more effective anti-drug role for the FBI, especially in dealing with the relationship between drug trafficking and organized crime. I intend to see that the resources of the FTA pp 50 g of Frederica and law enforcement.

sources of the FTA pp 50 g of Frederica and law enforcement.

sources of the FTA pp 50 g of Frederica and law enforcement.

assist in supporting the new Drug Enresponsibility for conducting port-ofAs long as the inspection with persons. forcement Administration.

The consolidation effected under Reorganization Plan No. 2 would reinforce the basic law enforcement and criminal justice mission of the Department of Justice. With worldwide drug law enforcement responsibilities no longer divided among several organizations in two different Cabinet departments, more complete and cumulative drug law enforcement intelligence could be compiled. Patterns of international and domestic illicit drug production, distribution and sale could be more directly compared and interpreted. Case-by-case drug law enforcement activities could be more comprehensively linked, cross-referenced, and coordinated into a single, organic enforcement operation. In short, drug law enforcement officers would be able to spend more time going after the traffickers and less time coordinating with one another.

Such progress could be especially helpful on the international front. Narcotics control action plans, developed under the leadership of the Cabinet Committee on International Narcotics Control, are now being carried out by U.S. officials in cooperation with host governments in 59 countries around the world. This wideranging effort to cut off drug supplies before they ever reach U.S. borders or streets is just now beginning to bear fruit. We can enhance its effectiveness, with little disruption of ongoing enforcement activities, by merging both the highly effective narcotics force of overseas Customs agents and the rapidly developing international activities of the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs into the Drug Enforcement Administration. The new agency would work closely with the Cabinet Committee under the active leadership of the U.S. Ambassador in each country where anti-drug programs are underway.

Two years ago, when I established the Special Action Office for Drug Abuse Prevention within the Executive Office of the President, we gained an organization with the necessary resources, breadth, and leadership capacity to begin dealing decisively with the "demand" side of the drug abuse problem-treatment and rehabilitation for those who have been drug victims, and preventive programs for potential drug abusers. This year, by permitting my reorganization proposals to take effect, the Congress can help provide a similar capability on the "supply" side. The proposed Drug Enforcement Administration, working as a team with the Special Action Office, would arm Americans with a potent one-two punch to help us fight back against the deadly menace of drug abuse. I ask full Congressional cooperation in its establishment.

IMPROVING PORT-OF-ENTRY INSPECTIONS

No heroin or cocaine is produced within the United States; domestic availability of these substances results solely from their illegal importation. The careful and complete inspection of all persons and goods coming into the United States is therefore an integral part of effective Federal drug law enforcemen

responsibility for conducting port-of-

among several Cabinet departments. The principal agencies involved are the Treasury Department's Bureau of Customs, which inspects goods, and the Justice Department's Immigration and Naturalization Service, which inspects persons and their papers. The two utilize separate, inspection procedures, hold differing views of inspection priorities, and employ dissimilar personnel management practices.

To reduce the possibility that illicit drugs will escape detection at ports-ofentry because of divided responsibility, and to enhance the effectiveness of the Drug Enforcement Administration, the reorganization plan which I am proposing today would transfer to the Secretary of the Treasury all functions currently vested in Justice Department officials to inspect persons, or the documents of persons.

When the plan takes effect, it is my intention to direct the Secretary of the Treasury to use the resources so transferred-including some 1,000 employees of the Immigration and Naturalization Service-to augment the staff and budget of the Bureau of Customs. The Bureau's primary responsibilities would then include:

-inspection of all persons and goods entering the United States;

valuation of goods being imported, and assessment of appropriate tariff duties:

-interception of contraband being smuggled into the United States;

enforcement of U.S. laws governing the international movement of goods, except the investigation of contraband drugs and narcotics; and

-turning over the investigation responsibility for all drug law enforcement cases to the Department of Justice.

The reorganization would thus group most port-of-entry inspection functions in a single Cabinet department. It would reduce the need for much day-to-day interdepartmental coordination, allow more efficient staffing at some field locations, and remove the basis for damaging inter-agency rivalries. It would also give the Secretary of the Treasury the authority and flexibility to meet changing requirements in inspecting the international flow of people and goods. An important by-product of the change would be more convenient service for travellers entering and leaving the country.

For these reasons, I am convinced that inspection activities at U.S. ports-ofentry can more effectively support our drug law enforcement efforts if concentrated in a single agency. The processing of persons at ports-of-entry is too closely interrelated with the inspection of goods to remain organizationally separated from it any longer. Both types of inspections have numerous objectives besides drug law enforcement, so it is logical to vest them in the Treasury Department, which has long had the principal responsibility for port-of-entry inspec-As long as the inspections are conducted

entry inspections is awkwardly divided with full awareness of related drug con-

cerns it is neither necessary nor desirable that they be made a responsibility of the primary drug enforcement organiza-

DECLARATIONS

After investigation, I have found that each action included in Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1973 is necessary to accomplish one or more of the purposes set forth in Section 901(a) of Title 5 of the United States Code. In particular, the plan is responsive to the intention of the Congress as expressed in Section 901(a) (1): "to promote better execution of the laws, more effective management of the executive branch and of its agencies and functions, and expeditious administra-tion of the public business;" Section 901 (a) (3): "to increase the efficiency of the operations of the Government to the fullest extent practicable;" Section 901(a) (5): "to reduce the number of agencies by consolidating those having similar functions under a single head, and to abolish such agencies or functions as may not be necessary for the efficient conduct of the Government;" and Section 901(a) (6): "to eliminate overlapping and duplication of effort."

As required by law, the plan has one logically consistent subject matter: consolidation of Federal drug law enforcement activities in a manner designed to

increase their effectiveness.

The plan would establish in the Department of Justice a new Administration designated as the Drug Enforcement Administration. The reorganiza-tions provided for in the plan make necessary the appointment and compensation of new officers as specified in Section 5 of the plan. The rates of compensation fixed for these officers would be comparable to those fixed for officers in the executive branch who have similar responsibilities.

While it is not practicable to specify all of the expenditure reductions and other economies which may result from the actions proposed, some savings may be anticipated in administrative costs now associated with the functions being transferred and consolidated.

The proposed reorganization is a necessary step in upgrading the effectiveness of our Nation's drug law enforcement effort. Both of the proposed changes would build on the strengths of established agencies, yielding maximum gains in the battle against drug abuse with minimum loss of time and momentum in the transition.

I am confident that this reorganization plan would significantly increase the overall efficiency and effectiveness of the Federal Government. I urge the Congress to allow it to become effective.

RICHARD NIXON. THE WHITE HOUSE, March 28, 1973.

EXECUTIVE MESSAGES REFERRED

As in executive session, the President pro tempore laid before the Senate messages from the President of the United

printed at the end of Senate proceed.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

A message from the House of Representatives by Mr. Berry, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House had passed the bill (H.R. 3841) to provide for the striking of medals in commemoration of Roberto Walker Clemente, in which it requested the concurrence of the Senate.

ENROLLED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS SIGNED

The message also announced that the Speaker had affixed his signature to the following enrolled bills and joint resolution:

H.R. 5445. An act to extend the Clean Air

Act, as amended, for 1 year; H.R. 5446. An act to extend the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, for 1 year;

H.J. Res. 5. A joint resolution requesting the President to issue a proclamation designating the week of April 23, 1973, as "Nicolaus Copernicus Week" marking the quinquecentennial of his birth.

The enrolled bills and joint resolution were subsequently signed by the President pro tempore.

HOUSE BILL REFERRED

The bill (H.R. 3841) to provide for the striking of medals in commemoration of Roberto Walker Clemente was read twice by its title and referred to the Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs.

THE JOURNAL

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the reading of the Journal of the proceedings of Tuesday, March 27, 1973, be dispensed with.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COMMITTEE MEETINGS DURING SENATE SESSION

Mr. MANSFIELD, Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that all committees may be authorized to meet during the session of the Senate today.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

BILLS ON THE CALENDAR

Mr. SCOTT of Pennsylvania. Mr. President, I merely wish to say, for the benefit of all Senators, that under the Reorganization Act bills on the calendar stay there 3 days unless the majority or minority leader, as authorized by statute, waive the 3-day provision.

I note that all the bills on the calendar at the present time, except Calendar Nos. 92 and 93, have been on the calendar for more than 3 days. The Daily Digest, which appears in each Congres-SIONAL RECORD, will serve to bring up to date any developments which occur

posed of Calendar No. 91. There have haan addad Caland

someone in their office-perhaps their legislative assistant—to look at the calendar daily and to look at the Digest, and if they wish to be especially notified with regard to any bill, that they let us know, or if for any reason they wish to suggest a time of consideration, that they let us know. We will be very glad to cooperate.

We have been observing at least the 1day notice. We are committed to observing the full 3 days notice, although there will be times when the majority and minority leaders-for reasons of emergency or for reasons where it is important to accommodate a situation-will feel constrained to ask for a waiver of the notice. However, we will do our best to protect all Senators. I merely wanted the Record to show it.

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. SCOTT of Pennsylvania, I yield. Mr. MANSFIELD. I am in full accord with the remarks just made by the distinguished Republican leader.

The PRESIDING OFFICER HASKELL). Under the previous order, the Senator from Alaska (Mr. Stevens) is recognized for not to exceed 15 minutes.

Mr. GRIFFIN. With the permission of the majority leader and the acting majority leader, I ask unanimous consent that the order be reversed and that the Senator from Alaska may be recognized second.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered. The Senator from Michigan (Mr. GRIFFIN) is recognized for not to exceed 15 minutes.

LAST AMERICANS LEAVE VIETNAM

Mr. GRIFFIN. Mr. President, during the next 24 hours the last of our remaining American combat troops will leave Vietnam. And during that period, the last of the known American prisoners of war held by North Vietnam and the Vietcong will be released to head for home.

Remaining in Vietnam will be only the Marine guard at the U.S. Embassy, some military attachés, and the soon-to-be terminated U.S. military delegation to the Joint Commission to Oversee the Repatriation.

This is a moment and a day, I suggest, that should not pass unnoticed, now that the long, difficult process of American disengagement from Vietnam has finally been accomplished.

As the last American combat soldier leaves Vietnam, it is noteworthy that South Vietnam is a free nation; its people have not been taken over by force; the country has not been conquered. To be sure, the nation is still beleagured; there are still serious problems. But the South Vietnamese are able now to defend themselves as a result of help given by the United States.

All in all, during the period from January 1, 1965, to December 31, 1972, about 2,594,000 Americans served in Vietnam. The number stationed in Vietnam at one program and set a timetable for the first